

Comparative Review Essay Grading Form for Anthropology 2240 – For Comparative Review Essay

	Great	Good	OK	Oops!	Marks
<p>Essay Introduction</p> <p>Gives paper direction by introducing the two sources, topic, and your opinion.</p>	<p>Well written and well organized introduction which gives clear direction to the paper and your evaluation of the sources.</p>	<p>Introduction is well stated and sharply focused.</p>	<p>Introduction is vague, poorly stated, or is not appropriate. Your evaluation of the sources is not clear.</p>	<p>Introduction is missing some or elements. Paper is not introduced properly or effectively</p>	<p>/2</p>
<p>Article Summaries (3)</p>	<p>Summary is clear, concise and hits the main points. Summary sets up the evaluation. Summary is thoughtful.</p>	<p>Summary hits the main points of the article or book. Reader gains some insights.</p>	<p>Summary reviews the basics of the text but misses some of the points. Summary is basic or general. Reader gains few insights.</p>	<p>Summary is unclear and leaves reader with questions about what text is about.</p>	<p>/3 /3 / 3</p>
<p>Critique: identify the value of the source material to your topic. (2)</p>	<p>Critique considers carefully the value, good and bad, of the sources in question. Reader knows value of the source to the larger topic.</p>	<p>Critique offers some consideration of value of the source. Some consideration of the author's ideas.</p>	<p>Critique is limited in its consideration of the value of the source. Critique focuses primarily on writing style and not ideas.</p>	<p>Critique is unclear, vague, or non-existent.</p>	<p>/3 /3 /3</p>

Applies course material or otherwise demonstrates relevance of both sources to Anthropology of Gender/Sex	Link between summaries, critiques and anthropology of gender / sexuality is clear, articulate, and insightful.	Anthropology of gender / sexuality is evaluated with reference to sources, but the connections are limited.	Anthropology of gender / sexuality is mentioned but only because the books are about gender and sexuality.	No connection to the anthropology of gender / sexuality is made.	/2
Proper and effective use of quotations and citations	Excellent use of quotations to illustrate your points. Citations are clear and presented consistently.	Some quotations used. Citations are used properly.	Citations are not always given. Format of citations is inconsistent. Few, if any, quotations.	No citations or no quotations.	/2
Grammar, spelling, style	Few or no errors in spelling and grammar. Concluding paragraph is robust. Paper is well organized and easy to read.	Several spelling and/or grammatical errors that do not interfere with meaning. Concluding paragraph draws paper together adequately. Paper has decent organization.	Many spelling and/or grammatical errors that interfere with meaning. Poorly articulated conclusion or a conclusion that doesn't draw themes of paper together. The paper is hard to follow because of poor organization.	So many spelling and/or grammatical errors that the meaning is lost. No conclusion. Organization? What's that?	/1
Total Mark /20					/25
Additional Comments					